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Abstract. Compared to other regions of North America, there have been relatively few paleopathological studies of arctic populations to date, particularly those aimed at elucidating patterns of health and disease prior to contact, and assessing temporal changes in disease patterns. In the present study, four Aleut skeletal samples representing one pre-contact population from Umnak Island in the eastern Aleutian Islands (N=65), and three late pre-contact/early contact period populations from Umnak, Kagamil, and Shiprock Islands (N=227), were examined macroscopically for indicators of health status. The analysis revealed some evidence of declining health in the late pre-contact/early contact period. Statistical comparisons of the earlier and later samples indicated a significantly higher frequency of cribra orbitalia and cranial infection in the later sample compared to the earlier one. Archaeological, epidemiological, and historical data point to several possible explanations for these findings, including the introduction of new pathogens by Europeans.

Introduction

In the last decade, a large number of skeletal studies of health and disease in pre- and post-contact populations from North and South America (e.g., Baker and Kealhofer 1996; Larsen 1994, 2001; Larsen and Milner 1994; Verano and Ubelaker 1992) have been published. These studies have demonstrated the presence of a substantial pathogen load in some pre-contact populations, and have revealed evidence of declining health following European contact (Aufderheide 1992; Ubelaker and Verano 1992). With respect to arctic populations, studies of mummies from the Aleutian Islands (Zimmerman et al. 1971, 1981), frozen cadavers from Point Barrow (Zimmerman and Aufderheide 1984) and St. Lawrence Island (Zimmerman and Smith 1975), and skeletal remains (e.g. Keenleyside 1998; Lobdell 1980; Merbs 1983; Salter 1984; Stewart 1932, 1979; Way 1978) have yielded information on Eskimo and Aleut health prior to contact. However, there have been few investigations aimed at examining temporal changes in disease patterns and the biological impact of European contact on these populations. One exception is Meer’s (1985) analysis of two early contact period skeletal samples from Chirikof Island in southern Alaska, which revealed little evidence of physiological stress despite contact with Russians. Consequently, much of what is known about the health of arctic populations following contact has been derived from other sources of information, such as historical accounts, traditional healing practices, and inferences from modern scientific knowledge (Fortuine 1989).

This paper presents the results of an analysis of pre-contact and late pre-contact/early contact period Aleut skeletal remains from the eastern Aleutian Islands. Its aims are to 1) examine temporal...
changes in patterns of health and disease among the Aleuts, and 2) determine whether some of these changes might be related to European contact.

Background

The Aleuts occupy a volcanic island chain characterized by rocky coastlines and moderate temperatures. Archaeological evidence indicates that their ancestors exploited a wide variety of marine resources, including seals, sea otters, sea lions, whales, and numerous species of fish, shellfish, and birds (Laughlin and Aigner 1975; Lippold 1966; McCartney 1984). Aleut settlements were located primarily on the coast, and consisted of large, permanent settlements and temporary camps (McCartney 1984). At the time of European contact (ca. mid-eighteenth century), dwellings were large, semisubterranean structures constructed of driftwood and whalebones, covered with grass, sod, and skins, and heated with stone lamps (McCartney 1984). The Aleuts’ traditional garment was a parka made of sea mammal or bird skins (Lantis 1984). Large, open boats and kayaks were used for hunting and traveling. The Aleuts traded amongst themselves, and reportedly engaged in frequent conflict with one another and with neighboring Eskimos (Lantis 1984; Veniaminov [1840] 1984).

The first contact between Aleuts and Russians occurred in 1741, when Vitus Bering and Alexei Chirikov landed their ships in the Aleutian Islands. Although their expedition did not achieve its original goal of establishing Russian sovereignty in north western North America (VanStone 1984:149), the valuable cargo of sea otter pelts obtained during the voyage stimulated the organization of commercial fur-hunting expeditions to the Aleutians in the years that followed (Black 1980:92). The first Russian fur hunters landed in the islands in 1745 to hunt sea otters and other fur-bearing animals (Black 1984:72). Initially the Russians engaged in the hunt themselves (Liapunova 1987:114), but they became increasingly reliant on the Aleuts to provide them with pelts, either through trade or coercion (Bancroft 1959:121; Liapunova 1987:115). To ensure the safety of their own hunting parties, the Russians took Aleut hostages, by force or persuasion (Masterson and Brower 1948:60).

In the early years of the fur trade, the Russian government made little effort to protect the welfare of the Aleuts (Hulley 1953:57). Consequently, relations between the Russians and Aleuts were not always amicable, and on a number of occasions, hostile encounters resulted in injury and death to both groups (Bancroft 1959:103, 105 122; Berk [1823] 1974:5, 19; Black 1984:82, 85 87; Coxe 1780:34; Jochelson 1968:3). As Black (1980:93) has noted, the outcome of these encounters, which were local and sporadic, depended to a great extent on the character of the individuals involved, and some early traders did succeed in establishing good relations with the Aleuts (Berk [1823] 1974:17, 18, 30; Black 1984:75; Makarova 1975:52).

Moving eastward along the island chain as sea otters became increasingly scarce and the western islands became overcrowded with hunting parties (Black 1984:76), the Russians reached Unnak Island in 1759 and the Alaskan mainland in 1762 (Black 1980:93). By this time, the number of vessels visiting the chain annually for the purpose of hunting had increased from one to as many as ten vessels (Black 1984:75). As the fur trade increased, so too did the violence against the Aleuts (Black 1980:94), many of whom opposed Russian demands to assist in the fur hunts. Among the most notable abuses committed during this time period were those of the merchant Bechevin and his crew, who were responsible for a number of native deaths (Bancroft 1959:124, 125; Berk [1823] 1974:25). It has been argued that the behavior of Bechevin and his crew was likely to have precipitated the organized uprising of the eastern Aleuts during the winter of 1763/1764 (Black 1984:86). In surprise raids against several Russian fur-hunting parties, the Aleuts destroyed four vessels wintering on Unnak, Unimak, and Unalaska Islands, and reportedly killed an estimated 200 Russian crewmen (Black 1980:94). In retaliation for the death of their comrades, the Russian survivors of the uprising are said to have destroyed numerous villages from Unnak Island to Akutan Pass (Hulley 1953:60), killing 200 to 300 Aleut warriors (Bancroft 1959:151; Berk [1823] 1974:41; Veniaminov [1840] 1984:251).

In 1768, the Russian government undertook an expedition, under the command of Captain-Lieutenant Petr Krenitsyn and Lieutenant Mikhail Levashov, to explore and map the islands, to secure the territory for the Russian Empire, to bring the Aleuts under Russian citizenship, and to regulate the collection of tribute (Glushankov 1975:52).
inhabitants, left valuable ethnographic observations and sketches of the Unalaska Aleuts (Glushankov 1973; Masterson and Brower 1948:57–61). He also enforced the government policy of “fair and equitable treatment” of the Aleuts, even though he reportedly disliked them personally (Black 1980:95).

From 1778 to 1779, Captain James Cook, on an expedition in search of a northern passage to the Atlantic, passed through the Aleutians, stopping briefly at Unalaska Island. By this time, most of the natives had been subjugated by the Russians ( Bancroft 1959:169), and the Aleut population, estimated to have numbered 12,000 to 15,000 prior to Russian contact (Veniaminov 1884:246), had been reduced by at least one-third (Laughlin 1980:129).

By 1780 most of the Aleutian Islands had been discovered and mapped (Fedorova 1973:105) and the fur trade had reached its peak (Makarova 1975:77). Although the number of vessels making annual voyages to the Aleutians still remained relatively small, overcrowding of the Andreanof Islands by fur hunters resulted in increased conflicts between rival fur-trading companies as they attempted to lay claims to specific hunting grounds (Black 1984:92). As competition for greater catches and profits increased, so too did the Russians’ reliance on Aleut hunters (Black 1981:118, 1984:81). Consequently, the Russian practice of forcing the Aleuts to hunt for them became increasingly common.

Following the discovery of the Pribilof Islands in 1786, many Aleuts were transported from the central Aleutians to the Pribiloofs to hunt, some never returning home (Black 1984:96). By this time, reports of the mistreatment of Aleuts by the Russians had reached the Imperial Government, and an expedition under the command of Captain Joseph Billings was dispatched to investigate allegations of Aleut brutalities at the hands of the Russian fur hunters. When the expedition arrived in the islands in 1790, only one third of the original Aleut population remained (Sarychev 1807:72). Wintering at Unalaska in 1791/1792, the crew confirmed earlier reports of Aleut abuses and were, themselves, witnesses to these abuses (Merck 1788–1792 1980:80). Although the expedition succeeded in putting an end to the murder of natives (Veniaminov [1840] 1984:256), the practice of forced labor continued (Milan 1974:19), particularly in the more densely populated eastern Aleutians where native resistance was stronger (Black 1984:81).

The last private trading vessel was dispatched to the island chain in 1792 (Makarova 1975:119), and in 1799, the consolidation of several fur-trading companies resulted in the formation of the Russian-American Company. Although the Company’s first charter contained no guidelines regarding the treatment of Aleuts (Okun 1951:197), its second charter, issued in 1821, outlined its policy regarding this matter in a section entitled “On the Islanders” (Liapunova 1987:139). Unfortunately, the lack of government officials in the colony prevented the enforcement of the charter, and the exploitation of the Aleuts continued (Okun 1951:195). In the first two decades of the Company’s operation, hundreds of Aleuts were relocated and forced to travel increasingly further distances to hunt the rapidly diminishing sea otter population. Many of these individuals subsequently drowned (Veniaminov [1840] 1984:256).

Compounding the loss of population due to forced resettlement were episodes of famine that periodically struck the Aleut population (Coxe 1780:259; Masterson and Brower 1948:58), and the continued onslaught of epidemic diseases that were introduced to the Aleuts by the Russians. These included influenza, smallpox, and measles (Bancroft 1959; Black 1984; Tikhmenev 1978; Veniaminov [1840] 1984). By 1867, when the United States government purchased Alaska, the Aleut population numbered only 2,500 (Hulley 1953:16).

Description of the Skeletal Samples
A total of four skeletal samples representing one pre-contact Aleut population (N=65) from Umnak Island in the eastern Aleutian Islands and three late pre-contact/early contact period populations (N=227) from Umnak, Kagamil, and Shiprock Islands (Fig. 1) were selected for analysis (Table 1). For 141 of these individuals, only the cranium and/or mandible were present, while the remaining 151 individuals had complete or nearly complete skeletons. The collections are currently housed in the Department of Anthropology, National Museum of Natural History, Smithsonian Institution.

The pre-contact sample from Umnak Island was excavated by Hrdlička in 1938 from the Chaluka site, a 4,000-year old village site located within the present-day village of Nikolski (Fig. 1). All of the skeletons are dolichocephalic “Paleo-Aleuts,” believed to have evolved into the brachycephalic “Neo-Aleuts” of the historic period (Laughlin 1963). The Paleo-Aleut skeletons were recovered from the lower, middle, and upper levels of the site and date from ca. 1000 B.C. to 1500 A.D. (Laughlin 1992:6). Despite the temporal depth of these remains, archaeological evidence from Chaluka indicates homogeneity in both...
material culture and diet throughout this period (Laughlin 1963). The late pre-contact/early contact period samples were collected by Hrdlička from 1936 to 1938. The Umnak Island sample consists of the remains of six individuals excavated from the uppermost layers of the Chaluka site. Classified by Hrdlička as “Aleut” (i.e., Neo-Aleut) on the basis of their craniometrics (Hrdlička 1945), the remains were found in both flexed and extended positions, the latter possibly reflecting European influences (Turner et al. 1974:140). Hrdlička (1945:371) noted evidence of possible venereal syphilis in one of these skeletons, and commented that none of the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Minimum # of Individuals</th>
<th>Temporal Position</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pre-Contact Period</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Umnak Island I</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>1000 B.C.-A.D. 1500*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Late Pre-Contact/Early</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contact Period</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Umnak Island II</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>18th century**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shiprock Island</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>14th-18th century***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kagamil Island</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>A.D. 1400-1780****</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>227</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall Total</td>
<td>292</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Hrdlička, 1945; Laughlin, 1963, 1992  
**Hrdlička, 1945; Turner et al., 1974  
***Hrdlička, 1941, 1945; Turner, 1991  
pre-contact burials from the site showed any evidence of the disease.

The Shiprock Island sample consists of the remains of 62 individuals recovered from two rock shelters on Shiprock Island in Umnak Pass (Fig. 1). These shelters are believed to have been used primarily by eastern Umnak Aleuts (Turner 1991:16). In addition to the skeletal remains, Hrdlička recovered a variety of artifacts, including stone knives, lamps, scrapers, and white glass beads, indicating an early post-contact date for at least some of the remains (Hrdlička 1941b:119, 1945: 335). While the total time span represented by this sample is unknown, the remains likely date from the fourteenth to the eighteenth century (Turner 1991).

The Kagamil sample consists of the remains of 159 individuals removed from two caves located on the southwest coast of Kagamil Island in the eastern Aleutians (Fig. 1). First entered by Europeans in 1874, when 12 mummies and several skulls were recovered (Hrdlička 1941a:9), the caves were later explored by Hrdlička who, in 1936, retrieved more than 50 mummies, mostly children, as well as approximately 30 skulls and various infracranial remains from the “warm” cave (Hrdlička 1941a:16, 1945:242). A subsequent survey of the “cold” cave yielded 24 sacks of bones of much poorer preservation (Hrdlička 1941a:18, 1945:244–245). In addition to the human remains, a wealth of cultural material was collected from the caves, including decorated matting, feather garments, cords, kayak frames, parts of war shields, ivory labrets, stone lamps, and slate knives (Hrdlička 1941a:18, 1945:242–243, 245). Dall (1875), Jochelson (1925), Veniaminov ([1840] 1984), and Laughlin (1980) provided detailed descriptions of the Kagamial burials.

The dating of the Kagamil material has been the subject of ongoing debate. While radiocarbon dating of mask fragments recovered from the cold cave has yielded a date of ca. 900 to 1100 B.P. (Theodore Bank, personal communication 1979, cited in Black 1983:57), both Hrdlička (1941b:124) and Laughlin (1980:101) note that the remains are probably only a few hundred years old and that some of them date from the period of Russian contact, as indicated by the presence of canvas, glass beads, and probable cases of syphilis (Laughlin 1980:101). Relatively recent dates of A.D. 1700 to 1800 (Heathcote 1986:36) and A.D. 1400 to 1780 (Laughlin 1992:6) have been assigned to the sample. While the total time period represented by the Kagamial remains is unknown, the artifacts associated with the remains suggest that the majority span less than 600 years (Laughlin 1992:19).

Methodology

The sex of each individual was determined using standard morphological criteria of the cranium and pelvic bones (Bass 1987; Ubelaker 1989). Subadult age estimates were obtained using dental eruption, diaphyseal length, and degree of epiphyseal union (Ubelaker 1989), while adult age estimates were based on pubic symphyses morphology (Suchey and Katz 1986; Suchey et al. 1988), sternebral rib end morphology (Iscan et al. 1984, 1985), and maxillary suture obliteration (Mann et al. 1991). For the purposes of the analysis, adults were assigned to one of four age categories: 18–20 years, 21–35 years, 36–50 years, and 50+ years.

The health and nutritional status of past populations and temporal changes in health can be assessed using a variety of skeletal and dental indicators. Recognizing that health is “a composite

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Males</th>
<th>Females</th>
<th>Unknown</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Males</th>
<th>Females</th>
<th>Unknown</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Subadult (&lt; 18 yrs)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Young Adult (18-35 yrs)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle Adult (36-50 yrs)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Older Adult (50+ yrs)</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>227</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
of nutrition, disease, and other aspects of life history” (Larsen 1997:8), physical anthropologists commonly employ multiple indicators in order to obtain a more complete assessment of health and disease in the past. Conditions typically recorded in skeletal remains include cribra orbitalia and porotic hyperostosis, trauma, infections, enamel hypoplasia, dental caries, dental abscesses, antemortem tooth loss, periodontal disease, and dental attrition. Cribra orbitalia and porotic hyperostosis, conditions characterized by porosity and thickening of the cranial vault and superior orbital plates, have been attributed largely to iron deficiency anemia resulting from a low dietary intake of iron, poor intestinal absorption of iron, and/or excess loss of iron due to infectious diseases (El-Najjar et al. 1976; Goodman et al. 1984; Ortner and Putschar 1983; Steinbock 1976). In addition, these conditions have been linked to other health problems, including scurvy, rickets, tumors, and inflammatory processes of the cranial vault and scalp (Schultz 2001:131). They have also been viewed as an adaptive response to a high pathogen load in which the body withholds iron as a form of defence against invading pathogens that require iron for growth and development (Stuart-Macadam 1992). Given the introduction of new infectious diseases to the Aleuts following contact, one might expect to see an increase in cribra orbitalia and porotic hyperostosis in the post-contact skeletal samples.

Trauma to the skeleton may be classified into four categories: 1) fractures, 2) dislocations and displacements, 3) disruptions in nerve or blood supply, and 4) artificial deformation (Ortner and Putschar 1985:55). Fractures, the most common type of traumatic injury observed in archaeological populations (Goodman et al. 1984:34), can provide valuable insight into the activity patterns of past populations, as well as levels of interpersonal conflict within and between populations (Lovell 1997). They therefore have the potential to shed light on the nature of the relationship between the Aleuts and Russians. Historical records document numerous atrocities committed on the Aleuts by Russians (Veniaminov [1840] 1984). It is therefore hypothesized that the post-contact skeletal samples will exhibit a higher frequency of trauma than the pre-contact sample.

Infections in bone can be classified as either specific or non-specific. Since acute infectious diseases leave no evidence in bone, skeletal evidence represents chronic infections that may or may not have been the direct cause of death of an individual (Ortner and Putschar 1985:105). Non-specific infections are more common in archaeological remains and can be classified into two groups, periosis, an inflammation of the periosteum resulting from localized trauma or infection (Ortner and Putschar 1985:132), and osteomyelitis, an inflammation of the marrow cavity caused by various bacteria (Steinbock 1976:60). Specific infections that can affect bone include tuberculosis and venereal syphilis. Previous studies of pre- and post-contact skeletal remains from North and South America have revealed evidence of increased rates of infection following contact (Verano and Ubelaker 1984). Therefore, one might expect to see an increased frequency of infection in the post-contact Aleut samples, particularly specific infections such as syphilis, which was known to have been introduced to the Aleuts following contact (Merck [1788–1792] 1980; Veniaminov [1840] 1984).

A study of dental pathology can provide important clues to the general level of health and nutritional status of a population (Waldram et al. 1995). In northern indigenous populations, antemortem tooth loss has been attributed to heavy attrition, trauma, and periodontal disease (Costa 1980; Merbs 1968). Enamel hypoplasia, a deficiency in enamel thickness resulting from nutritional stress, infectious diseases, and other forms of stress (Larsen 1997), has been used to investigate health changes associated with changes in subsistence practices and other cultural transitions. An increase in enamel hypoplasia over time in some populations has been linked to increased nutritional and disease stress resulting from the introduction of agriculture (Cohen and Armelagos 1984), and from European contact (Larsen and Milner 1994). Temporal changes in the incidence of dental caries have been linked to the introduction of refined sugars in modern-day Inuit populations (Mayhall 1970, 1975). It is hypothesized that the post-contact Aleut samples will exhibit a significantly higher frequency of both enamel hypoplasia and dental caries, reflecting the introduction of new pathogens and dietary changes following contact.

In the present study, all crania were examined macroscopically for evidence of cribra orbitalia and porotic hyperostosis. Cribra orbitalia was scored as present in an individual if at least one orbit was affected. Lesions were further characterized as unremodeled or remodeled based on the criteria of Mensforth et al. (1978). Porotic hyperostosis was scored as present if the cranium
exhibited both pitting and thickening of bone. Crania exhibiting pitting without thickening of the vault were classified as having ectocranial porosis (Mann and Murphy 1990). Traumatic lesions were classified by type (i.e., fracture, dislocation, amputation), location in the skeleton, size and location on each bone, and stage of healing (healing/healed vs. unhealed). Complications resulting from trauma were also recorded. Elements exhibiting evidence of infection secondary to a traumatic injury were included under the category of trauma. Infectious lesions were classified by type (i.e., bone formation, bone loss, or both), location in the skeleton, size and location on each bone, and stage of healing (active vs. healing/healed). Following the recommendations of the Skeletal Database Committee (Rose et al. 1991), long bones that were less than two thirds complete were eliminated from the calculation of trauma and infection frequencies unless they exhibited evidence of these conditions (no cases). Due to limited access to X-ray facilities, only a small number of specimens with infectious or traumatic lesions were radiographed.

Enamel hypoplasia was classified according to the Developmental Defects of Enamel (DDE) Index (Federation Dentaire International 1982). Because of the variation in timing and susceptibility of defects between tooth types (Goodman and Armelagos 1985), observations were recorded by individual tooth type. Hypoplastic defects were identified by visual examination and were scored as present or absent for each of the six anterior maxillary and mandibular teeth. All observed defects were further classified as either linear arrangements of pits, horizontal grooves, or both, and the total number of defects was recorded for each affected tooth. Those teeth that exhibited severe attrition resulting in the loss of more than one third of the tooth crown, heavy calculus deposits, severe caries, or trauma were excluded from the calculation of hypoplasia frequency. Dental caries, abscesses, antemortem tooth loss, and periodontal disease were recorded by individual and by tooth/tooth socket. Dental caries and abscesses were identified by visual examination and were classified by location and size, according to the criteria utilized by Patterson (1984). Teeth were recorded as having been lost antemortem if there was clear evidence of some remodeling of the tooth socket. Periodontal disease was assessed by the shape and appearance of the interdental septa, following the methodology employed by Costa (1982). The degree of dental attrition was recorded for each tooth using Smith’s (1984) 8-stage scale. To facilitate statistical analysis of the data, these stages were grouped into four larger categories following Beckett and Lovell (1994): no wear, slight wear (stages 1–3), moderate wear (stages 4–6), and severe wear (stages 7–8).

Following frequency calculations of each of the health indicators, statistical comparisons were made using the chi-squared test of independence, corrected for Yates continuity. Fisher’s exact test was used when the number of cases was less than 20. Statistical analyses were performed using EpiInfo, Version 5. The analyses were done to determine whether any significant differences exist between the earlier and later samples with respect to each indicator. For the purposes of these comparisons, the three late pre-contact/early contact period samples were grouped into one larger sample. The rationale for combining these samples is as follows: 1) the samples are geographically close, 2) they represent eastern Aleuts, a group that is linguistically, morphologically, and culturally distinct from western Aleuts (Black 1984; Laughlin and Aigner 1975), and 3) they represent Neo-Aleuts who are believed to have evolved locally from earlier Paleo-Aleuts (Laughlin 1963, 1980; Turner et al. 1982). In addition, separate statistical comparisons were also made between the pre-contact sample and the Shiprock and Kagamil samples. The late pre-contact/early contact period sample from Unnak Island was too small to make any valid statistical comparisons with the pre-contact sample.

**Results**

The frequency of cribra orbitalia, porotic hyperostosis, trauma, and infection by individual is presented in Figure 2. There was no significant difference between the earlier and later samples in the frequency of porotic hyperostosis. In contrast, the later sample had a significantly higher frequency of cribra orbitalia than the earlier sample (51/221 compared to 2/54 individuals, \(x^2=9.26, p=.0023\)), suggesting a possible increase in iron deficiency anemia due to dietary changes and/or an increase in infectious diseases. The significant difference between the two samples persisted when cribra orbitalia and porotic hyperostosis were combined (56/227 vs. 2/55 individuals, \(x^2=10.74, p=.0010\)). Similar results were obtained when separate comparisons were made between the pre-contact sample and the Shiprock and Kagamil samples.

Of the 53 crania examined in the pre-contact sample, 8 (15.09%) showed evidence of trauma consisting primarily of small, healed depression.
fractures of the frontal, parietal, and occipital bones. In contrast, 18 individuals (9%) in the later sample showed evidence of cranial trauma. A statistical comparison of the earlier and later samples revealed no significant difference between the two. However, when the pre-contact sample was compared with only the Shiprock sample, the latter sample had a significantly lower frequency of cranial trauma than the earlier sample (1/58 compared to 8/53, \(\chi^2 = 4.97, p = .0132\)). Possible explanations for this observation include changes in activity patterns and/or a decline in interpersonal violence.

Nine out of 57 individuals (15.79%) in the pre-contact sample had partly or completely healed fractures of one or more of the ribs, radii, scapulae, humeri, femora, fibulae, pelvic bones, and hand and foot bones, while 14 out of 94 individuals (14.89%) in the later sample had partly or completely healed fractures involving one or more of the ribs, radii, scapulae, clavicles, fibulae, pelvic bones, and foot bones. Consistent with historical accounts of numerous atrocities committed on the Aleuts by Russians was the discovery of a musket ball embedded in the pelvic bone of an adult male from Kagamil Island (Fig. 3). There was no statistically significant difference between the two samples with respect to infracranial trauma by individual or by element (all major long bones combined) (Table 3). However, when cranial and infracranial trauma were combined in order to assess the overall prevalence of trauma in the study samples, the earlier sample had a significantly higher frequency of trauma than the later sample (16/65 compared to 27/227 individuals, \(\chi^2 = 5.54, p = .0186\)). Similarly, when the pre-contact sample was compared to only the Shiprock sample, the former had a significantly higher frequency of trauma than the latter (16/65 compared to 1/62 individuals, \(\chi^2 = 12.57, p = .0004\)). Again, changes in activity patterns and/or the level of interpersonal violence may underlie these differences.

None of the 52 observable crania in the pre-contact sample displayed any evidence of cranial infection. In contrast, 51 out of 204 crania (25%) in the later sample showed evidence of infection, a statistically significant difference (\(\chi^2 = 14.70, p = .0001\)). Affected elements included the frontal, parietal, occipital, nasal, zygomatic, maxillary and temporal bones, the sphenoid, and mandible. Of the 51 affected individuals, 19 (37.2%) had active lesions at the time of their death. When separate
comparisons were made between the Shiprock and Kagamil samples and the pre-contact sample, both of the later samples had significantly higher frequencies of cranial infection than the earlier sample ($\chi^2 = 24.15, p < 0.00001$ and $\chi^2 = 9.24, p = 0.0024$ respectively), a finding that suggests an increased pathogen load following contact.

A total of 11 out of 57 individuals (19.3%) in the pre-contact sample exhibited evidence of infracranial infection. Most of the lesions were non-specific in nature, and involved primarily one or more of the six major long bones. Seven of the affected individuals had active lesions at the time of their death. Twenty-one out of 94 individuals (22.3%) in the later sample had infracranial infection involving the six major long bones, as well as ribs, scapulae, clavicles, pelvic bones, and foot bones. Twelve of these individuals had active lesions at the time of death. A statistical comparison of the earlier and later samples revealed no significant difference with respect to the frequency of infracranial infection by individual or by total number of elements (Table 3). Similarly, when cranial and infracranial infection were combined in order to assess the overall prevalence of infection in the study samples, there were no significant differences between the two samples. In contrast, when the pre-contact and Shiprock Island samples were compared separately, the latter sample had a significantly higher frequency of infection, by individual, than the earlier sample (26/62 compared to 11/65 individuals, $\chi^2 = 8.44, p = 0.0037$).

The frequency of dental pathology by individual is presented in Figure 4. Antemortem tooth loss was the most common dental disease observed in both samples, affecting 74% (42/57 individuals) of the earlier sample and 36% (51/142 individuals) of the later sample. In contrast, no caries were observed in either sample. A statistical comparison of the earlier and later samples revealed that significantly more individuals in the earlier sample had abscesses ($\chi^2 = 6.75, p = 0.0094$), antemortem tooth loss ($\chi^2 = 21.81, p < 0.00001$), and periodontal disease ($\chi^2 = 9.43, p = 0.0021$) than in the later sample.

The frequency of dental disease by tooth count is illustrated in Figure 5. Antemortem tooth loss was again the most common form of dental pathology in both samples, affecting 18%
Table 3. Frequency of infracranial trauma and infection by element.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Humerus</th>
<th>Radius</th>
<th>Ulna</th>
<th>Femur</th>
<th>Tibia</th>
<th>Fibula</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A/O* %</td>
<td>A/O* %</td>
<td>A/O* %</td>
<td>A/O* %</td>
<td>A/O* %</td>
<td>A/O* %</td>
<td>A/O* %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Trauma</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-Contact Period</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Younger Individuals</td>
<td>0/23 0.00</td>
<td>0/24 0.00</td>
<td>0/24 0.00</td>
<td>0/24 0.00</td>
<td>0/23 0.00</td>
<td>0/23 0.00</td>
<td>0.17 0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Older Individuals</td>
<td>1/76 1.32</td>
<td>1/57 1.75</td>
<td>0/64 0.00</td>
<td>1/78 1.28</td>
<td>0/74 0.00</td>
<td>1/60 1.67</td>
<td>4/409 0.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>1/99 1.01</td>
<td>1/81 1.23</td>
<td>0/88 0.00</td>
<td>1/102 0.98</td>
<td>0/97 0.00</td>
<td>1/77 1.30</td>
<td>4/544 0.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Late Pre-Contact/Early Contact Period</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Younger Individuals</td>
<td>0/254 0.00</td>
<td>1/193 0.52</td>
<td>0/211 0.00</td>
<td>0/397 0.00</td>
<td>0/302 0.00</td>
<td>2/221 0.90</td>
<td>3/1578 0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Older Individuals</td>
<td>0/48 0.00</td>
<td>1/46 2.17</td>
<td>0/45 0.00</td>
<td>0/45 0.00</td>
<td>0/45 0.00</td>
<td>1/40 2.50</td>
<td>2/269 0.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>0/302 0.00</td>
<td>2/239 0.84</td>
<td>0/256 0.00</td>
<td>0/442 0.00</td>
<td>0/347 0.00</td>
<td>3/261 1.15</td>
<td>5/1847 0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Infection</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-Contact Period</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Younger Individuals</td>
<td>2/23 8.70</td>
<td>2/24 8.33</td>
<td>2/24 8.33</td>
<td>3/24 12.5</td>
<td>4/23 17.39</td>
<td>0/17 0.00</td>
<td>13/135 9.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Older Individuals</td>
<td>0/76 0.00</td>
<td>3/57 5.26</td>
<td>0/64 0.00</td>
<td>0/64 0.00</td>
<td>6/78 7.69</td>
<td>8/74 10.81</td>
<td>2/60 3.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>2/99 2.02</td>
<td>5/81 6.17</td>
<td>2/88 2.27</td>
<td>9/102 8.82</td>
<td>12/97 12.37</td>
<td>2/77 2.60</td>
<td>32/544 5.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Late Pre-Contact/Early Contact Period</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Younger Individuals</td>
<td>5/254 1.97</td>
<td>5/193 2.59</td>
<td>6/211 2.84</td>
<td>12/397 3.02</td>
<td>36/302 11.92</td>
<td>13/221 5.88</td>
<td>77/1578 4.88</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Affected/Observed
Figure 4. Frequency of dental pathology by individual.

Figure 5. Frequency of dental pathology by tooth.
(285/1558 teeth) of the earlier sample and 7% (229/3380 teeth) of the later sample. A statistical comparison of the two samples by sex, age group, tooth type, jaw, and overall tooth count revealed significantly higher frequencies of antemortem tooth loss and periodontal disease in the pre-contact sample compared to the later sample (Table 4). Possible explanations include high attrition rates and the age distribution of the samples.

Discussion

Previous research has demonstrated “considerable geographic variability” in the biological variability of contact (Ubelaker and Verano 1992:280). This variability has been attributed, in part, to “differences in the timing and intensity of European contact and colonization” (Verano 1992:21). Fortune has recognized that historical and biological processes are closely linked, stating that “the very events of history in significant ways determined what the patterns of health and disease would be among the people of Alaska” (Fortune 1989:87). An examination of historical records reveals a number of factors that may underlie the skeletal evidence of declining health in the later period.

Cribra Orbitalia and Porotic Hyperostosis

Of particular interest in the present study was the significantly higher frequency of cribra orbitalia in the later sample compared to the earlier one. One possible explanation for this finding is a dietary shift in the early contact period to iron-deficient foods introduced by the Russians. Many of the foods traditionally utilized by the Aleuts, including sea mammal meat, blood soups, bone marrow, liver, waterfowl, and certain species of fish, contain iron in sufficient quantities to prevent anemia (Morehouse 1981). With contact, however, came the introduction of new food items, some of which were iron-deficient. Nevertheless, nutritional changes resulting from contact were reportedly minimal (Milan 1974:21). Rather than replacing traditional food items, Russian foods merely supplemented the aboriginal diet (Milan 1974:21). These foods tended to be given to Creoles (individuals of Russian-Aleut ancestry) rather than Aleuts (Milan 1974:21), and when they were given to the latter, were often insufficient in quality and quantity (Fortune 1989:116). In fact, only in modern native Alaskan populations has anemia been attributed largely to an inadequate dietary intake of iron (Sauberlich et al. 1972; Scott et al. 1955; Scott and Heller 1964).

The significant increase in cribra orbitalia in the later period may reflect, to some extent, the age distribution of the samples under comparison, specifically, the significantly higher frequency of subadults in the later sample. Seventy-one percent (36/51) of the affected individuals in the later sample were subadults with active lesions. Previous studies have demonstrated higher levels of cribra orbitalia and porotic hyperostosis in subadults, particularly young children, than in adults (Cybulski 1977; Nathan and Haas 1966; Walker 1986). This observation has been attributed to their higher demand for iron during their rapid growth and development (Cybulski 1977; El-Najjar 1976).

If iron deficiency anemia does indeed represent a positive adaptation to a high pathogen load, as Stuart-Macadam (1992) has argued, a more likely explanation for the significantly higher rates of cribra orbitalia in the later period are increased parasitic, bacterial, and viral infections associated with changes in living conditions and the introduction by Europeans of new diseases. In contrast to the moderately-sized houses occupied by the Umnak Island Aleuts prior to contact (Denniston 1966; Turner et al. 1974), during the early contact period the eastern Aleuts occupied large, semisubterranean dwellings that were used for much of the year. They are reported to have contained anywhere from 30 or 40 to several hundred individuals at one time (Makarova 1975; Masterson and Brower 1948). Such crowded living conditions may have facilitated the spread of infections among their occupants (Fortune 1989). Saunders and colleagues (Saunders et al. 1992) have argued that the longhouses utilized by the Ontario Iroquois prior to contact may have predisposed them to infections, while in Sarawak, higher incidences of leprosy and tuberculosis have been documented in individuals living in longhouses than in those living in single family houses (Chen 1988).

Compounding the increased opportunity for infection created by the crowded living conditions characteristic of post-contact houses was the lack of sanitation within and around these houses. Numerous historical accounts attest to the lack of both personal and community hygiene in the early contact period. Both Cook ([1776–1780] 1967:3:1) and Sarychew (1807) commented on the fact that garbage and human waste were deposited in the middle of these dwellings. This refuse, combined with the lack of ventilation and uncomfortably high temperatures within these houses undoubtedly provided the opportunity for potentially harmful viruses and bacteria to thrive. A reliance on water
Table 4. Dental pathology by tooth.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Tooth Type</th>
<th>Jaw</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Males</td>
<td>Dentine</td>
<td>Younger Individuals</td>
<td>Older Individuals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Females</td>
<td>Enamel Hypoplasia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-Contact Period</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Period</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Late Pre-Contact/Early Contact Period</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caries</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-Contact Period</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Period</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Late Pre-Contact/Early Contact Period</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abscesses</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-Contact Period</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Period</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Late Pre-Contact/Early Contact Period</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMTL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-Contact Period</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Period</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Late Pre-Contact/Early Contact Period</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peridontal Disease</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-Contact Period</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Period</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

† Significant difference between earlier and later Aleuts, p<0.05.
supplies that may have been contaminated (Fortune 1989) also undoubtedly placed this group at an increased risk of gastrointestinal infections resulting in diarrhea and the subsequent loss of iron. In the early nineteenth century, diarrhea is reported to have been common among the Aleuts, who traditionally used a root extract as a remedy for this condition (Veniaminov [1840] 1984).

The pathogen load affecting the Aleuts during the early contact period would have been further increased by the introduction of new diseases by Europeans. Historical records document the occurrence of numerous epidemics among the Aleuts following contact (Table 5). While evaluating the magnitude of the impact of acute epidemic diseases from skeletal remains is hindered by the fact that these diseases leave no evidence in bone (Ortner 1992:5), an examination of the reported mortality rates resulting from these epidemics clearly illustrates the negative impact of introduced pathogens on this population.

**Trauma**

A statistical comparison of the earlier and later samples revealed no significant differences in cranial or infracranial trauma. However, when cranial and infracranial trauma were combined in order to assess the overall prevalence of trauma in the samples, the earlier sample showed significantly more trauma than the later sample. Fractures increase with age, reflecting increased years at risk (Lovejoy and Heiple 1981). Therefore, the significantly higher frequency of trauma in the earlier sample is likely to reflect the significantly higher number of older individuals (50+ years) in this sample. When only younger individuals were compared, there was no significant difference between the two samples.

It was originally hypothesized that a statistical comparison of the earlier and later samples would reveal a significant decline in trauma in the later period. This was based on the observations of Father Ivan Veniaminov, who served as an Orthodox missionary in the eastern Aleutian Islands in the early 19th century. According to native accounts gathered by Veniaminov, warfare between neighboring Aleut settlements and between Aleuts and mainland Eskimos was a frequent occurrence prior to contact, resulting in the destruction of entire settlements and a significant population reduction long before contact (Veniaminov [1840] 1984). The arrival of the Russians, however, reportedly put an end to native warfare (Veniaminov [1840] 1984:185, 250). At the time of his visit to the Aleutian Islands in 1778, Cook commented

| Table 5. Epidemics in the Aleutian Islands, 1791-1863. |
|----------|---------------|----------|----------|----------|
| Date     | Location      | Disease              | Mortality | Source      |
| 1791-92  | Unalaska Is.  | “chest diseases”     | high      | Merck 1980:177 |
| 1802     | Atka Island   | “contagious fever”   | high      | Davydov 1977:105 |
| 1806-7   | eastern islands | “high fever and chest congestion” | >350 | Black 1984:97 |
| 1807-8   | eastern islands | dysentery          | high      | Veniaminov 1984:257 |
| 1830-31  | eastern islands | “cough and chest congestions” | >30 | Veniaminov 1984:258 |
| 1831-32  | western islands | intestinal infection | high | Netsvetov, cited in Black 1981:141 |
| 1838     | eastern islands | smallpox             | ~85       | Veniaminov 1984:245 |
| 1848     | eastern islands | measles              | ~300      | Tikhmenev 1978:371-2; Bancroft 1959:580 |
| 1863     | Atka and Amlia Islands | influenza    | 55        | Black 1984:105 |
that the Aleuts had been disarmed by the Russians and lived peacefully with one another (Cook [1776–1780] 1967, 3:1:459, 462, 468). Similarly, Sarychev (1807:78) reported peaceful relations among the Unalaskan Aleuts 13 years later. If other accounts are accurate, however, native warfare continued to occur during the contact period (Black 1984:56, 81; Laughlin 1980:54), and in fact may have intensified following contact due to competition for Russian trade (Black 1984:81). The skeletal evidence fails to shed any light on this question.

Infections

Most noteworthy in this study is the significantly higher frequency of cranial infection in the later sample compared to the pre-contact sample. As noted earlier, the significant increase in cribra orbitalia in the later sample suggests that infectious diseases increased in the late pre-contact/early contact period. Possible factors contributing to this increase include the transition to larger, more crowded housing and the introduction of new pathogens by Europeans. Of the infectious diseases that affect the cranium, venereal syphilis is the probable cause of the lesions observed in nine individuals in the later sample. All nine crania exhibited multiple lytic/proliferative lesions characteristic of the disease on the outer table of the frontal and/or parietal bones. Holcomb (1940:183) and Steinbock (1976:133) have previously described the remains of two of these individuals as having lesions indicative of venereal syphilis. Holcomb (1940) also describes five other cases of probable syphilis in post-contact crania from the Aleutian Islands.

At the time of his visit to Unalaska in 1778, Cook noted the presence of venereal diseases among the Aleuts (Cook [1776–1780] 1967, 3:1:468), and remarked that while the Russians claimed to have no sexual relations with the Aleut women, the English often did. Ship’s surgeon David Samwell commented that several of the crewmen contracted venereal disease from Aleut women (Samwell quoted in Cook [1776–1780] 1967,3:2:1144). Another crewman, Captain Charles Clerke, also noted the existence of venereal disease among the Aleuts, and remarked that the Russians had been spreading it among the Kamchadals for many years (Clerke quoted in Cook [1776–1780] 1967,3:2:1337). Venereal disease was reportedly the main health problem of the Aleuts during their third voyage, lasting from 1776 to 1780. Eight crewmen were afflicted with venereal disease, probably gonorrhoea (Watt 1979:149–150). During his visit to Unalaska in 1791, Merck ([1788–1792] 1980:176) remarked that “wounds of the venereal kind . . . have been known to them [the Aleuts] only since the arrival of Russians.” Veniaminov ([1840] 1984:185,257) cited venereal disease, which he termed “the gift of the Russians”, as one of the causes of population decline among the Aleuts after 1790. According to his account, venereal disease appeared in the Aleutians following the arrival of Russians and reached its peak around 1798, when entire families were reportedly infected (Veniaminov [1840] 1984:258). Cited as a major cause of infertility among Aleut women (Veniaminov [1840] 1984:247), with only one out of 11 women of reproductive age giving birth (Veniaminov [1840] 1984:185,257), venereal disease had almost completely disappeared by 1825, usually appearing only in the main settlements (Veniaminov [1840] 1984:258). From 1822 to 1836, 11 Unalaska Aleuts are reported to have died of syphilis (Veniaminov [1840] 1984:488).

Other specific infections known to have been introduced to the Aleuts following contact include tuberculosis. The earliest reference to the presence of this disease among the Aleuts comes from the report of the Russian trader Afanasii Ocheredin, who spent approximately five years in the Aleutian Islands. Two Aleuts, whom he took with him to Siberia in 1770, died of the disease (Bancroft 1959:154n), which they may have contracted from the Russians (Fortuine 1989:256). During Cook’s expedition to the Aleutian Islands, two crewmen died of tuberculosis (Cook [1776–1780] 1967, 3:1:699–700; Samwell in Cook 3:2:1130; Watt 1979:149). Either or both of the men who died may have transmitted the disease to the native population prior to their deaths (Fortuine 1989:256). In 1791, an outbreak among the Unalaska Aleuts of a respiratory disease characterized by “pain in the side, coughing and spitting of blood” (Merck [1788–1792] 1980:177) may have been tuberculosis (Fortuine 1989:257). In the western Aleutians, Netsvetov ([1828–1844] 1980:145, 183) reported the deaths of two Aleut women from “consumption” between 1829 and 1842, while in the eastern islands, Veniaminov ([1840] 1984:488) recorded 55 deaths from “phthisis” (pulmonary tuberculosis) during the period from 1822 to 1836.

One adult male in the Shiprock Island sample exhibited active periostitis on the pleural surfaces of the third to eleventh right ribs. While rib
periostitis is not pathognomonic of pulmonary tuberculosis, and may result from other non-tuberculous lung diseases such as pneumonia (Kelley and Micozzi 1984; Pfeiffer 1991; Wakely et al. 1991), tuberculosis cannot be ruled out. Given that individuals with malnutrition and other infectious diseases are most susceptible to tuberculosis (Powell 1992:43), the disease may well have been a serious health threat to the Aleuts during the early contact period, particularly in view of the significantly higher frequencies of cribra orbitalia and cranial infection in the later sample.

Dental Pathology

A statistical comparison of the earlier and later samples revealed no evidence of declining dental health over time. This finding is consistent with reports of native dental health by early visitors to Alaska. Veniaminov ([1840] 1984:162), for example, noted that the Aleuts had sound, white, heavily worn teeth that became stained yellow or black in older individuals. The absence of caries in the later sample is also consistent with what is known from historical accounts about dietary changes resulting from contact. As noted earlier, dietary changes among the Aleuts are reported to have been minimal in the early contact period (Milan 1974:21). Ships sailing to the Aleutians usually carried only a small supply of foods such as butter, flour, ham, and dried and salted fish (Bancroft 1959:235), relying instead on hunting and fishing for most of their diet. While the Russians supplied the Aleuts with food on occasion, most notably during times of famine, in order to secure furs (Bancroft 1959:237, 580–581), these foods supplemented rather than replaced the traditional native diet and, as noted earlier, were given primarily to Creoles rather than Aleuts (Milan 1974:21). Low rates of caries have also been linked to heavy attrition or tooth wear, which removes areas susceptible to caries, such as pits and fissures (Maat and Van der Velde 1987). Since both Aleut samples exhibited high rates of dental wear (Table 6), this is likely to have contributed to the low rate of caries seen in these samples.

Statistical comparisons of the two samples revealed significantly higher frequencies of abscesses, antemortem tooth loss, and periodontal disease by individual and by tooth count in the pre-contact sample compared to the later sample. These differences most likely reflect the significantly higher number of older adults (50+ years) in the earlier sample. When only younger individuals were compared, there were no significant differences between the two samples with the exception of a significantly higher frequency of periodontal disease by tooth in the earlier sample ($\chi^2 = 10.86, p = .0010$).

The high rate of attrition in the Aleut samples likely contributed to the loss of teeth in these individuals. Abscesses and periodontal disease, although less common, may also have contributed to the loss of teeth in these groups, particularly the molar teeth which were most frequently affected by these conditions. Attrition was also likely an important factor in the development of abscesses and periodontal disease among the Aleuts.

Conclusions

The problems of making inferences about the health of past populations from skeletal remains are well recognized. Limitations of paleopathological data include the fact that 1) many diseases, particularly acute infectious diseases, leave no evidence in bone; 2) different diseases may produce similar skeletal changes, making an accurate diagnosis difficult; and 3) skeletal samples are not always representative of the populations from which they are derived, hindering the determination of “population prevalences of pathological conditions from skeletal lesion frequencies” (Wood et al. 1992:345). Nevertheless, paleopathological data can provide some insight into patterns of health and disease in past populations, particularly when multiple indicators of stress are employed (Goodman 1993; Wright and Yoder 2003), and when the data are used in conjunction with other sources of information on health, such as archaeological, historical, and epidemiological data (Goodman 1993; Herring 1992; Larsen 1994).

The data obtained in the present study indicate some evidence of declining health among the Aleuts over time, as indicated by the significantly higher frequencies of cribra orbitalia and cranial infection in the late pre-contact/early contact period sample compared to the pre-contact sample. Some of this decline appears to be related to European contact, more specifically the introduction of new pathogens. Given that their health was already compromised prior to contact (Keenleyside 1998), the Aleuts may have been even more susceptible to these pathogens.

While disease has often been viewed as the primary cause of population decline among Native Americans following contact, other contributing factors included the exploitation of local people...
Table 6. Degree of dental attrition by tooth.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tooth Type</th>
<th>Pre-Contact Period</th>
<th>Late Pre-Contact/Early Contact Period</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>None (%)</td>
<td>Slight (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Males</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incisors</td>
<td>0/479</td>
<td>56/479</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canines</td>
<td>(0.00)</td>
<td>(11.69)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Premolars</td>
<td>0/323</td>
<td>45/323</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Molars</td>
<td>(0.00)</td>
<td>(13.93)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maxilla</td>
<td>0/306</td>
<td>70/306</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mandible</td>
<td>(0.00)</td>
<td>(22.88)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incisors</td>
<td>0.101</td>
<td>10/101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canines</td>
<td>(0.00)</td>
<td>(9.90)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Premolars</td>
<td>0/260</td>
<td>28/260</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Molars</td>
<td>(0.00)</td>
<td>(10.77)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maxilla</td>
<td>0/327</td>
<td>56/327</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mandible</td>
<td>(0.00)</td>
<td>(17.13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incisors</td>
<td>0.496</td>
<td>31/496</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canines</td>
<td>(0.00)</td>
<td>(6.25)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Premolars</td>
<td>0/306</td>
<td>70/306</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Molars</td>
<td>(0.00)</td>
<td>(22.88)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maxilla</td>
<td>0.101</td>
<td>10/101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mandible</td>
<td>(0.00)</td>
<td>(9.90)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>0/802</td>
<td>101/802</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.00)</td>
<td>(12.59)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
for labor, dietary changes resulting in nutritional stress, hostilities between Europeans and indigenous populations, and social disruption (Larsen 2000:166). Among the Aleuts, hostile encounters with Russians and the practice of forced labor compounded the decline that resulted from the introduction of infectious diseases.
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